

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,531	12/14/2001	Sukhendu B. Dev	GENE1180-2	1163
35938	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER	
	OLOGY LAW GROU	LAM, ANN Y		
658 MARSOLAN AVENUE SOLANA BEACH, CA 92075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-	,		1641	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/10/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/020,531	DEV ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ann Y. Lam	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C.	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply se later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti</li></ol>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4, 7-13, 16, 17-20, 23-24 and 29, 30-, 34.</u>						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:	C	hustigh L. Chin				
( Low 6/4)	CH P	IRISTOPHER L. CHIN RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800/64/				

U.S. Patent and Traderrark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the '700 patent is not an electroporation apparatus, but is an iontophoresis device. Applicants argue that electroporation to the extent that it is disclosed in the '700 patent, is directed to releasing components from cells by breaking those cells down, rather than introducing components into cells, as is claimed by Applicants. In response, Examiner points to column 7, lines 42-44 in which patent '700 states that the "invention can also be used to effect drug release by electroporation, which is the electrical breakdown of cells which contain substances such as hemolytic compounds, genese and the like." Examiner asserts that a device having an electric field of a strength required for the electrical breakdown of cells to release substances is capable of providing for the introduction of substances into a cell by creating an opening in the cell membrane, as is well known in the art. Examiner emphasizes that Applicants are claiming a device, as opposed to a method, and thus if the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claims.

Applicants also assert on page 7, second paragraph, that small changes in electrode distance can have large effects on electric field strength and cell viability. Examiner points out that nothing in the '700 patent requires that the electrical breakdown cause permanent damage to or a permanent breakdown of cells. Electroporation is a well known art in which cells undergoing electroporation remain viable.